

1

“Consciousness” is the “presence” or “thereness” of the world, *from the perspective of* an empirical-linguistic ego associated with that consciousness.

“Consciousness” is the “perspectival being” of the world. “Consciousness” is not some stuff that is other than “physical” things. Physical things are *there*, and consciousness is their “there-ness,” their “perceptual presence.”

The empirical ego is also perceptually present, also there. My eyes are not in my own visual field, but they are in the visual field of others. I can see my hand, etc.

2

“Consciousness” is the “thereness” or “presence” of the things in the world. Daydreams and toothaches are there, but they are not there in the same way as shoes and cigars. “My” daydream is *directly* there in an only-for-me way. If I tell you about it, it becomes logically-semantically there for you. The “intending” of the daydream has a “logical presence” in your personal streaming of the world.

3

Empirical claims imply/predict the possible perceptual presence of various entities. Physical theories

can involve 99-dimensional math, for instance. The math doesn't have to be directly but only indirectly empirically meaningful.

4

The “substance” of a shoe or a cigar is “logical.” I grasp it immediately as part of the world I share with others, as a thing that is present but also absent. It is present in a perspectival way, within a situation. But it transcends my perspective on it and its current relevance. The simple idea of an enduring object that exists also for others. It is also in their streamings of the world, in the-world-from-their-perspective. It is always more than it has been so far. Futural beings, looking ahead, looking around the corner of the present.