

ONTOLOGICAL CUBISM

We can understand what is called “phenomenal consciousness” as the presence the world. The world is logically or conceptually structured. It is “immediately” meaningful, It is “immediately” a sequence or continuum of “states of affairs” or situations. To put in a humorous way, it is immediately mediated. In other words, the “given” is a myth, and “sensation” is tied up “immediately” with apperception or conceptuality. We live in a world of people and things in relationship.

An entity is the logical synthesis of its moments. A logical synthesis is a temporal and interpersonal synthesis. To grasp as entity is to unify its actual and possible “appearances” over time *and* over the plurality of actual *and possible* phenomenal streams. Possibility is primary rather than secondary. Entities are “ajar.” Transcendence involves a genuine futurity. We “track” entities as “systems of possibility.” Our concepts themselves (our basic categories) are subject to change.

The presence of an entity is merely “signitive” or “logical” when it is intended in its empirical absence. I can remember my deceased grandfather. I can argue against the likelihood of a situation. The empirical presence of an entity is also signitive or logical, but now that signitive-logical presence organizes “sensation.” A “logical there-ness” is supplemented with a “sensory there-ness.” This is a way to understand Wittgenstein’s picture theory. Somehow inscriptions

or sounds are tied up with signitive presence, and this signitive presence can be supplemented or enriched with sensory presence. “Perceptual presence” is signitive presence plus sensory presence. But sensory presence (perception) is made possible by an “organizing conceptuality” (by signitive presence as the “detachable” “idea” of a *possible* state of affairs.)

I recognize an old friend on the street who I happened to be thinking about. In both cases, the same friend was intended. But there on the street he is now empirically as well as logically present. To recognize is to “project” such signitive presence.

“Logic is the essence of the world.” Signitive presence is a condition for the possibility of presence, of perception. The word apperception might also be used in order to emphasize the logical-conceptual “core” of the perceived. This might be described as a “taking as.” I recognize/organize “sensation” by “taking it as” this or that state of affairs.

Belief is the “meaning structure” of a streaming of the world. Belief only represents in the limited context of signitive versus also empirical presence. I can sketch an expected or likely state of affairs. But such representation is “intra-worldly.”